



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

MA

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

09/037,674 03/09/98 MIZUHARA

H 2933SE-11-CI

022442
SHERIDAN ROSS PC
1560 BROADWAY
SUITE 1200
DENVER CO 80202

MM92/0123

EXAMINER

NADAV, O

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2811

DATE MAILED: 01/23/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/037,674

Applicant(s)

Mizuhara et al.

Examiner

ORI NADAV

Group Art Unit

2811



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) ORI NADAV

(3) _____

(2) BRADLEY KNEPPER

(4) _____

Date of Interview Jan 18, 2001Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.Claim(s) discussed: 25-39

Identification of prior art discussed:

Yoshimori et al. (5,468,684) and Leong (5,192,697).

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Mr. Bradley Knepper argued that prior art does not teach the claimed invention. Mr. Knepper proposed an amendment to overcome the prior art rejection.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Tom Thomas
Examiner

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.